

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

HOOTERS OF TROY, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

Case number 06-14945

Honorable Julian Abele Cook, Jr.

CITY OF TROY,

Defendant.

ORDER

On November 2, 2006, the Plaintiff, Hooters of Troy, Inc. (“Hooters”), filed a Complaint, in which it accused the Defendant, the City of Troy (“City”), of violating its fundamental constitutional rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.¹ On November 22, 2006, the City filed a motion in which it contended that this case should be dismissed because Hooters had failed to state a claim for which relief could be granted. Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6). As an alternative measure, the City also advanced the argument that this Court should abstain from rendering a decision on the merits of this cause, citing the doctrine of claim preclusion as well as the text relating to the application of judicial abstention, as found in *Younger v Harris*, 401 U.S. 37 (1971).

For the reasons that were set forth on the record during the hearing on February 28, 2007, the Court will (1) deny the City’s request for the entry of an order of dismissal, (2) abstain from evaluating the merits of this case because of the applicability of the claim preclusion and

1

In its Complaint, Hooters maintains that the City wrongfully infringed upon its rights to due process, an unimpeded freedom of expression, and an equal protection under the law, all in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

“Younger” doctrines, and (3) stay the prosecution of this case during the pendency of the state court proceeding.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 7, 2007
Detroit, Michigan

s/ Julian Abele Cook, Jr.
JULIAN ABELE COOK, JR.
United States District Court Judge

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on March 7, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system, and I further certify that I mailed a copy to the non-ECF participant(s).

s/ Kay Alford
Courtroom Deputy Clerk